Monocular visual mapping with the Fast Hough Transform
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Abstract

This article presents a mapping algorithm for bearing-only sensors, largely based on the Fast Hough Transform. While just the specific case of a camera moving in a straight path and detecting orthogonal edges was considered, the principle should be useful for more complex scenarios too. The algorithm is intended for creating initial estimates of landmark positions to allow the application of other classic mapping methods, such as maximum likelihood bundle adjustment. Tests were conducted with a video obtained with a consumer camcorder moving through a corridor, and good initial estimates were successfully generated.

1. Introduction

The goal of simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM) [14, 4] with visual sensors, or visual SLAM, is to produce estimates of both the location of a camera over time and of visual landmarks such as points and edges perceived by the camera [10, 3, 5, 1, 8, 19]. It is closely related to the Computer Vision problem of structure from motion (SFM) [13], more specifically to the bundle adjustment (BA) problem [16], which is the problem of estimating model parameters of both the structure of a scene and the camera used to produce images from it, optimizing the reconstruction of the set of images. The main difference is that in SLAM problems there is often some kind of motion information available, and there is also the desire to operate in real-time.

Visual SLAM has been usually done with filtering techniques from Mobile Robotics, such as the Extended Kalman Filter [3], but there is a growing desire in the research community to change the focus towards algorithms that are more similar to the global optimization techniques that have become the standard for BA [13]. The present article contributes to this by proposing a map building algorithm based on the Fast Hough Transform (FHT) [9] to create initial map estimates when little information about the environment is available, and feed other algorithms to finish the SLAM process. The execution of the algorithm depends on a couple of parameters that should in principle be easily found for specific applications by the observation of some statistics described in the article. The algorithm successfully located a few points in tests performed with a video recorded with a camera moving down a hallway, and seems to be a good match for more accurate SLAM systems that require initial map estimates. The points were also found to be good solution estimates, not being much improved by the use of a maximum likelihood (ML) algorithm [17].

The Hough Transform (HT) is a well-known technique to locate straight lines and other objects in digital images [6]. Many modifications to the original algorithm were proposed in the last decades, most of them looking for alternatives that are either faster or consume less memory. The basic idea is to create a large array of accumulators that are incremented following lines (or other curves) with parameters defined by each point of the image. The resolution of this transform space is determined a priori, and is directly related to the precision of the results and the computational cost. Many HT implementations actually create all these accumulator in memory. In the Fast Hough Transform a coarse-to-fine search strategy is adopted instead. A quadtree is created by recursively splitting the transform space in cells where the count of crossing lines surpasses a minimum value [9]. This strategy seeks to focus the processing inside regions where the peaks are most likely to be found. Another proposal that has a similar coarse-to-fine strategy is the Adaptive Hough Transform, but it is not able to find multiple peaks at the same time [6].

The use of Hough transform techniques to perform mapping in monocular vision is justified by noting how the problem can become one of finding the parameters of a set of lines from where points, related to the observations, were sampled [18, 17]. The Hough transform is also known to be an approximation to the maximum likelihood method [12], what is a more theoretically sound and popular approach,
and is used for BA.

The BA problem is usually approached in two steps. First the evidences must be assigned to each model parameter, what constitutes the correspondence problem. Then the values of the parameters must be calculated, what is usually done with some sort of ML technique. In traditional BA, where no kind of motion information is available, the most applied technique is RANSAC, where correspondences are assigned randomly, and the ones that result in a good agreement are kept [2, 15]. RANSAC is very traditional, but the original concept can be improved in many ways. More sophisticated heuristics can also be used if the problem is regarded in the framework of convex programming [7]. In the filtering approach to visual SLAM the correspondences are assigned at each frame to the landmark with the closest projection, and then the landmarks are updated iteratively, with one new evidence every frame [3, 5, 11]. The technique studied here differs from the filtering approach because multiple images are considered at the same time, and differs from the basic RANSAC approach because there is motion information available to explore.

The next section of this article will present the proposed algorithm. Section 3 describes the results of applying the algorithm to data extracted from a film recorded on a hallway. Finally, the last section brings a few conclusions.

2. The proposed algorithm

This section first explains why and how the FHT might be a good alternative for map building with visual sensors, then describe some interesting phenomena observed in doing so. This leads to a discussion about how to set the parameters of the FHT algorithm in the present scenario.

2.1. Justification

While in principle the technique presented here could be used in problems with many degrees of freedom, only the case of straight paths are being considered. The detected visual landmarks are also edges found by a simple analysis over a central line or column of the images. It can be shown that in these conditions the inverse of the image coordinates have a linear relationship with the camera position [18, 17]. Therefore building a map of this environment becomes the problem of fitting straight lines to the set of points collected, provided the location of the camera is known at each step, and the inverse coordinates are calculated. Figure 1 shows a frame from the film used in the experiments reported in this paper. The vertical edges from the columns, doors and windows are the landmarks being sought. It must be clear to the reader that the Hough Transform is not being used here to locate these edges in the images, but to find their space coordinates given the image coordinates in a sequence of images.

When the HT is used to find the parameters of the lines in an image, lines corresponding to each point are drawn in the parameter space, following the so-called line-point duality. The parameters for the line in the original space are given by the point in the transform space where the lines meet. But this is exactly what happens in mapping with visual sensors, or bearings-only sensors in general: the observations define lines in the space, and we wish to find the points where these lines meet, as Figure 2 shows. Therefore Hough transform techniques developed to find these meeting points from line sets should be applicable to the visual mapping problem. All it takes is to treat the space where the camera is moving as the parameter space in the Hough transform where we look for the meeting points of the sets of lines, which are related to the observations.

One advantage of using the FHT algorithm is that there is no need to determinate a priori the resolution of the parameter space, although the best results were found by stopping the algorithm when a minimum resolution selected prior to the run was reached. Another advantage is that it does not need the information of the correspondences between the observation and landmarks, and starts from no knowledge of the number or location of the landmarks. At the end of the processing a set of landmarks estimates is produced, that can be further analyzed and refined by other algorithms.

2.2. Adaptation to the problem

The algorithm starts analyzing a large square, that is the root of the quadtree. The landmarks and camera positions are determined by a pair of coordinates: a longitudinal coordinate in the direction of the camera track, and a lateral
coordinate on the axis orthogonal to this track. Because of the straight motion, camera positions always have the lateral coordinate set to zero. Assuming uniform motion, the distance between successive camera positions can also be normalized to one, turning the camera coordinates into the sequence of natural numbers.

Landmarks at the left or right of the path, with positive and negative lateral coordinates, can be analyzed separately, splitting the problem into the analysis of each side of the images at a time. Doing that allows the basis of the initial quadtree square to be made congruent to the path of the camera, since observations will reside only in one side of the path. One side of the square can also be made to cross the position of the first image in the series, since the camera has a limited and forward-pointing field of view, and no observations will produce lines that go behind that point. In the present work there was no calculation of an optimal position for the other sides, or for the initial size of the square, and the value used was simply a large enough one.

At each iteration of the algorithm the lines relative to each observation are tested to see if they cross each cell in the leaves of the quadtree. The lines start from the longitudinal axis at the point corresponding to the position of the camera where that feature was detected, and extends indefinitely from there at the angle corresponding to the image position of the detected feature. It is important to remember here that the actual focal distance value was not used or even measured, being replaced by a normalized value. The result of using an artificial focal distance value is that the map is not isotropic, and correct only up to two scale factors. To obtain a correct map we need to know both the correct focal distance, and also the correct distances between the camera positions.

The number of crossing lines are counted for each cell, and if a threshold value is attained, that cell is divided into other 4 cells, one for each quadrant. This counting threshold is a parameter for the algorithm, and no attempt to calculate an optimal value was made yet. The calculation of a good value must depend both on the precision of the observations and on the number of detections a typical landmark should produce, which is indirectly related to the length between the cameras, the field of view angle and the distance of the camera to the landmarks.

The search was stopped when a maximum resolution was found. The value used in the final version of the algorithm was a square of unit length, where the unit is also the supposed distance between successive frames of the film. The final squares with a count above the threshold are the solutions. Figure 3 shows a solution found in a test, and a detailed view of one region with cells in the highest resolution.

If the data were perfect, the lines should all meet at a single point, but imperfections and uncertainties move the lines, turning the meeting points into regions of high density of lines. A final processing step was therefore introduced. The set of cells found in the previous step are made into pixels of a binary image. This image was then processed with the morphological dilation operation, and the centroids of the resulting connected components constitute the final landmark proposals. The dilation was applied to group together connected components that were separated by just a thin line of pixels, turning them into a single landmark estimate. The detailed view in Figure 3 shows a single cell separated from the larger cluster by another cell, what is a good example of why the dilation should be applied. This might be replaced by other procedures that take into account the uncertainties to group separate pairs of estimates into a single one or not.

One last but important detail of the current implementation is that instead of using all observations, only the observations closest to the edge of the frame were considered at each image half analyzed. The use of the complete set of observations was left to the next step inside the complete localization and mapping procedure.

### 2.3. Convergence dynamics

When the algorithm starts we have a single cell that has a count equal to the total number of observations. As the algorithm progresses, the number of cells increase, at most in an exponential rate, with $4^n$ cells at the $n$-th step. What was found in experiments is that an exponential rate is in fact found for the first steps, but usually with a basis between 3 and 4. This happens until a peak value is reached. After the peak, the number of cells starts to decrease as the resol-
Figure 3. A set of clusters found by the algorithm (top), and a detailed view (bottom).

The existence of peaks in the cell population curves in Figure 4 can be explained by a natural density of lines in the parameter space. Such a density would cause the population to raise exponentially until the cells get so small that the size multiplied by this density finally gets below the threshold, and a decrease starts as seen in the graphics. This natural density should be a value close to three, considering a line is emanating from the cameras separate by a unit length and at approximately 45 degrees.

Figure 4. Evolution of cell statistics for T=20 (top) and T=42 (bottom).

The existence of peaks in the cell population curves in Figure 4 can be explained by a natural density of lines in the parameter space. Such a density would cause the population to raise exponentially until the cells get so small that the size multiplied by this density finally gets below the threshold, and a decrease starts as seen in the graphics. This natural density should be a value close to three, considering a line is emanating from the cameras separate by a unit length and at approximately 45 degrees.

Figure 5 shows an attempt to measure this density with results taken from executing the algorithm with incremental thresholds. The solid lines represent the results that should be seen if there was a density of 3, 4 or 7 lines per unit length. Note that the resolutions were measured only
in power-of-two values, causing the staircase shape of the measurements curve. The linear model does approximate the results, but a power law seems to approximate better. The dashed curves in the graphic represent a power law with 1.15 exponent instead of 1 as in the linear model. This phenomenon should be further investigated in the future in order to allow the prediction of the natural density of points and in consequence the step where a peak in cell population will happen, and what should be the number.

3. Experiments

The algorithm was applied to data taken from a video recorded with a miniDV camcorder carried through a hallway in an office environment, tied to a stabilizing device in order to reduce hand-shaking effects. The features were extracted as described in [18]. The camera was assumed to be moving in uniform motion, with unit length distances between each frame position, as mentioned previously. Also, as mentioned, only the furthest detection in image coordinates was considered for each frame, for a single half of the images. The result of applying the algorithm to this dataset is in Figure 6.

The graphic on Figure 6 shows the lines relative to all detections. The outer detections, used in the FHT algorithm, are in a different color. The crosses are the points found by the proposed FHT application, using a threshold $T = 20$.

Figure 6. Final result after morphological dilation, and perfected by ML estimation.

Only five possible landmarks were found, but the locations seem to be good spots, with many lines converging, and should suffice as a starting point for a subsequent BA algorithm. A more complete algorithm would run these two steps, and then remove the relevant detections, and run the FHT and BA steps again with the remaining detections, until all detections are associated with landmarks, and their positions estimated.

The diagonal crosses on Figure 6 are the solutions found by a maximum-likelihood (ML) estimation starting from the points found by the FHT. This ML estimation took all the detections in consideration. The results from this ML estimation were not much distant from the initial state, what shows that these points are indeed good estimates of the location of landmarks, although they are not optimal points.

4. Conclusions

This article presented an application of the Fast Hough Transform to the problem of building a map of an environment from images taken with a moving camera. The points detected on the images define lines in the space that meet in specific points, which are the landmark locations that are being sought. This is the same thing that happens in the most basic Hough Transform implementations, and therefore techniques such as the FHT developed to perform better Hough Transform line searching should be applicable to the bearing-only mapping problem.
The use of this technique is a good match to other classic BA techniques where an initial position of landmarks must be provided prior to execution. The execution of the FHT depends only on the definition of a large initial search area, the threshold and the stop criteria. A complete SLAM system could then be devised with a larger loop with two steps: the execution of the FHT to find new landmark candidates followed by ML estimation of optimal landmark positions and camera locations. New iterations will consider disassociated detections and propose new landmarks, until all detections are solved. While the landmark estimates found in this experiment were quite good, the ML step is still necessary to find the actual ML optimum estimates, perform more sophisticated feature matching, and also to solve the complete SLAM problem, since the presented technique performs only mapping.

More research is needed on the determination of the threshold and the stop criteria to allow autonomous operation. The investigation of how to adapt the system to real-time operation is also interesting. Of course, the complete two-stage BA system proposed must also be evaluated.
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